## Notifiable incident

| Incident ID        | <u>5095</u>   |
|--------------------|---|
| Duty holder:       | INPEX Operations Australia Pty Ltd                  |
| Facility/Activity: | Ichthys Venturer                                    |
| Facility type:     | Floating production storage and offloading facility |

| Incident details                                 |   |
|--|---|
| Division   | Occupational Health and Safety  |
| Notification type                                | Incident  |
| Incident date                                    | 10/10/2017 04:20 PM (WST)   |
| Notification date                                | 10/10/2017 07:20 PM (WST)   |
| NOPSEMA response date                            | 10/10/2017 08:25 PM (WST)   |
| Received by                                      |   |
| Nearest state                                    | WA  |
| Initial category type<br>(based on notification) | Dangerous Occurrence  |
| Initial category<br>(based on notification)      | Unplanned event - implement emergency response plan   |
| 3 Day report received                            | 13/10/2017  |
| Final report received                            | 13/10/2017  |
| All required data received                       | 18/10/2017  |
| Final category type<br>(based on final report)   | Dangerous Occurrence  |
| Final category<br>(based on final report)        | Unplanned event - implement emergency response plan   |
| Brief description                                | OHS-UPE - Toxic gas alarm caused by adjacent vessel exhaust fumes   |
| Location   | Process deck  |
| Subtype/s  | Alarm, Muster   |
| Summary<br>(at notification)                     | The toxic gas detectors in Module 5 were activated. The GA was automatically initiated and all persons<br>on the Ichthys Venturer and the Jascon 25 went to muster and were accounted for. The Emergency<br>response team kitted up with BA sets and went to investigate. They found that the detectors were<br>being activated by the exhaust fumes from the vessel Atlantis Dweller that was assisting with an ROV<br>inspection adjacent to the Ichthys Venturer. Muster stood down.       |
| <b>Details</b><br>(from final report)            | Atlantis Dweller was in close proximity to the Port side of the FPSO adjacent to module 5 executing<br>ROV inspection work-scope of the FPSO Hull.<br>The relative wind direction on the day was carrying exhaust from the Atlantis Dweller straight into<br>Module 5.<br>Emergency Response Team (ERT) deployed to investigate the alarms reported the strong smell of<br>diesel exhaust emissions. Further, some members of the ERT Personal Gas Monitors alerted indicating<br>CO present. |
| Immediate cause/s                                | Exhaust fumes from the adjacent vessel Atlantis Dweller activated the toxic gas detectors in Module 5 of the Ichthys Venturer.  |
| Root cause/s                                     | ED - TOLERABLE FAILURE  |
| Root cause description                           | Exhaust emissions from the Atlantis Dweller activated multiple toxic gas detectors leading to executive action.<br>Toxic Gas Detector alarms did not alarm in the CCR until 2 detectors were in high alarm (200N)   |

| Duty inspector recommendation |  |
|-------------------------------|--|
| Date                          | 11/10/2017   |
| Duty inspector                |  |
| Recommendation                | Do not conduct Major Investigation                       |
| Reasoning                     | Does not meet MI threshold based on information received |
| Supporting considerations     |  |

| Major investigation decision |  |
|------------------------------|--|
| Date                         | 11/10/2017   |
| Decision                     | Do not conduct Major Investigation                       |
| Reasoning                    | Does not meet MI threshold based on information received |
| Supporting considerations    |  |

| Non-major investigation review and recommendation |   |
|---|---|
| Date  | 11/10/2017                              |
| Inspector   |   |
| Risk gap  | None                                    |
| Type of standard                                  | Established                             |
| Initial strategy                                  | Inclusion in annual stats/data analysis |

| Recommended follow up strategy |   |
|--------------------------------|---|
| Recommended strategy           | Inclusion in annual report stats / data analysis  |
| Supporting considerations      | The alarm was triggered by H2S sensors. The facility has yet to receive hydrocarbon, therefore the situation / scenario as described in the initial report is considered most probable (false alarm). |

| Non-major investigation decision |  |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Date                             | 16/10/2017                                       |
| RoN                              |  |
| RoN review result                | Agree with recommendation                        |
| Strategy decision                | Inclusion in annual report stats / data analysis |
| Supporting considerations        | Agreed - no risk gap.                            |

| Associated inspection |  |
|-----------------------|--|
| Inspection ID         |  |