

OHS PROHIBITION NOTICE

To: Woodside Energy Ltd

In conducting an OHS inspection in relation to the Vincent facility, I [REDACTED], a NOPSEMA inspector appointed under section 602 of the Act, am satisfied on reasonable grounds that:

- an activity is occurring at the facility that involves an immediate threat to the health or safety of a person; or
- an activity may occur at the facility that, if it occurred, would involve an immediate threat to the health or safety of a person.

I am satisfied that it is reasonably necessary to issue a prohibition notice to Woodside Energy Ltd (the operator of the Vincent facility) in order to remove the threat.

I THEREFORE DIRECT Woodside Energy Ltd to ensure that the following activity or activities are not conducted:

(a) at this workplace or part of workplace:

Vincent facility

(b) using this plant or substance:

Any beam-and-trolley type lifting appliance

(c) following this procedure:

Lifting of any kind without the express and written approval of the facility Offshore Installation Manager that risk has been appropriately managed

The activity that has caused or may cause the threat to health or safety is:

Use of a beam-and-trolley type lifting appliance

The reasons why the activity may cause the threat to health or safety are:

- A member of the workforce was injured in an accident involving a beam-and-trolley type lifting appliance at the facility on 24/07/2021;
- NOPSEMA's investigation into the accident indicate multiple causative factors;
- I am not satisfied that the operator has identified and addressed all of the causative factors, such that the risk of a similar accident is reduced to ALARP.

The actions that may be taken to adequately remove the threat to health or safety include:

1. Root cause analysis completed; and
2. Remedial actions resulting from root cause analysis have been implemented to ensure that routine use of such equipment can be conducted without risk to the safety of personnel to members of the workforce on the facility.

Signed:**NOPSEMA inspector****Dated: 29/07/2021**

NOTES: (Please see back of form)

The following section is to be completed if serving the notice to the responsible person by giving it to the operator's representative or titleholder's representative at the facility, or identified responsible person onshore.

This notice was delivered to: _____

(insert name)

in the office or position of _____

(insert office or position)

at: _____ am/pm on _____

(insert time)

(insert date)

NOTES:

1. Under clause 77 of Schedule 3 to the Act, a responsible person who fails to ensure that this notice is complied with, to the extent that it relates to a matter over which the responsible person has control, may be liable to a penalty of 600 penalty units.
2. Under clause 77A of Schedule 3 to the Act, a notice ceases to have effect in relation to a responsible person when a NOPSEMA inspector notifies the responsible person that the inspector is satisfied that the responsible person, or another person, has taken adequate action to remove the threat to health or safety.
3. This notice must be displayed in a prominent place at the workplace and must not be tampered with or removed before the notice has ceased to have effect.
4. If this prohibition notice (or a copy of the notice) is not given to the operator's representative at the facility, the operator must give a copy of the notice to the operator's representative.
5. The operator's representative at the facility must give a copy of the notice to the following persons:
 - if the workplace, plant, substance or thing to which the notice relates is owned by a person other than the responsible person—that owner; and/or
 - if there is a health and safety representative for a designated work group that includes a group member performing work at a workplace, or involving the plant, substance or thing, to which the direction relates—that representative.
6. If the only responsible person for the notice is the titleholder, as soon as practicable after issuing the notice, the NOPSEMA inspector will take reasonable steps to give a copy to both the operator and the operators representative at the facility (if the inspector is at the facility when the notice is issued).
7. Under item 5 of clause 80A of Schedule 3 to the Act, any of the following persons may request the reviewing authority in writing to review the NOPSEMA inspector's decision:
 - the operator of the facility;
 - the titleholder, if the notice is issued to a titleholder;
 - an employer, if affected by the decision;
 - a relevant health and safety representative;
 - a relevant workforce representative, if requested by a member of the workforce affected by the decision.