

## **OHS Improvement Notice**

Offshore Petroleum and Greenhouse Gas Storage Act 2006

Notice Number: 0679

## **OHS IMPROVEMENT NOTICE**

To: Diamond Offshore General Company

In conducting an OHS inspection in relation to the Ocean Monarch facility, I, inspector appointed under section 602 of the Act, am satisfied on reasonable grounds that the person named above as the responsible person is contravening, or has contravened and is likely to contravene again clause 9(2)(c) of Schedule 3 to the Act.

The reasons for my opinion are:

A NOPSEMA OHS inspection was conducted on the Ocean Monarch facility while well abandonment activities were being undertaken for titleholder, BHP Billiton Petroleum Pty Ltd (BHP Billiton), in permit areas WA-10-L and WA-12-L. The activities were conducted under the Diamond Offshore General Company (facility operator) safety case in force comprising of the following documents:

- Ocean Monarch Mobile Offshore Drilling Unit Safety Case (Document No. OM-SC-001, Revision 0-C, 25 March 2015); and
- Safety Case Part 8 BHP Billiton Griffin Abandonment Campaign (2017) (Document No OM-SCR-005), Revision 0, dated 21 April 2017, jointly prepared between BHP Billiton and Diamond Offshore General Company.

BHP Billiton was responsible for selecting and managing client specific service contractors ('third party contractors') and associated equipment under the provisions and commitments made within the safety case in force.

Well fluid handling services and equipment was be provided by BHP Billiton through a service contract with Expro Group Australia Pty Ltd (EXPRO).

Documentation reviewed as part of the inspection, noted a "controlled gas venting" activity was logged on a daily drilling report for the Griffin-6 Well (Permit WA-10-L) on 11 August 2017.

On request, further documentation provided by the facility operator confirmed that hydrocarbon gases had been discharged via the EXPRO equipment through the facility's overboard vent lines into areas that presented risks to personnel on board the facility. The drilling reports showed that hydrocarbon gas was vented through the same venting arrangements on at least four well abandonments (i.e. Griffin-1, Griffin-4, Griffin-6 and Griffin-9) between 11 June 2017 and 13 August 2017.

Following the Griffin-6 activities, the operator undertook modifications to the gas vent line from the EXPRO supplied 'Well Fluid Handling Package' (e.g. Surge Tank gas vent outlet) to divert hydrocarbon gas to the facility's Mud Gas Separator (MGS) vent line. Information reviewed for this modification revealed inadequate management of modifications of safety-critical equipment including failing to:

- conduct adequate risk assessment;
- ensure the modification was independently validated; and
- undertake safe work practices during the modification, such as Non-Destructive Testing (NDT) of welds and pressure testing.

As result of these failures, the operator was unable to demonstrate that they took all reasonably



practicable steps to ensure that plant and equipment, such as the well fluid handling package, at the facility were safe and without risk to health.

NOPSEMA is aware that the facility operator has plans for future drilling, completions, workover and/or abandonments campaigns within Commonwealth and NOPSEMA regulated state waters which will be likely to involve modifications of safety critical equipment in the future.

As a result of this contravention I am satisfied that there is, or may be, the following risk to the health or safety of any person:

Injury or death to personnel at the facility during well abandonment activities as a result of a loss of containment of hydrocarbons and the associated risk of fire and/or explosion.

You are required to take action within **60 days** of the date of this notice to prevent or reduce the risk.

The following action must be taken by the responsible person within the period specified above:

- Complete a management review of the "management of change" process related to technical
  modifications at Diamond Offshore Drilling Company facilities operating in Australian
  Commonwealth waters and NOPSEMA regulated state waters and address any actions from this
  review.
- 2. Strengthen processes and procedures to ensure the following:
  - a. Modifications to safety-critical equipment, such as well control equipment, are appropriately assessed and communicated to all relevant stakeholders including the operator's senior management and accepted by relevant regulatory authorities prior to commencing or continuing these operations and activities; and
  - where necessary these processes and procedures must indicate the means to stop operations until risks arising from these modifications are appropriately evaluated, communicated and necessary technical and other control measures are accepted and implemented to ensure risks are managed to as low as reasonably practicable (ALARP); and
  - c. independent validation of the change is appropriately undertaken; and
  - d. training and competency related to these processes and procedures is to be undertaken by facility personnel and, in particular, by facility management and senior supervisors, to ensure sound understanding of technical change management in line with safety case commitments; or

implement such other controls as required to reduce risk to a level that is as low as reasonably practicable.

## Signed:



Dated: 13 December 2017

NOTES: (Please see back of notice)



When the required improvement has been completed, return this part of the notice to the following person at the address below:

Name:	
Position:	NOPSEMA Inspector
Address:	Send in electronic format via email to: <a href="mailto:submissions@nopsema.gov.au">submissions@nopsema.gov.au</a>
Telephone number:	+61 8 6188 8700
Specify the action that has been taken to comply with this notice in the space below.	
mprovement Notice No. <b>679</b> has been complied with by:	
Signed:	Date:



## **NOTES:**

- 1. Under clause 78 of Schedule 3 to the Act, a person who fails to ensure that this notice is complied with, to the extent that it relates to a matter over which the person has control, may be liable to a penalty of 300 penalty units or a civil penalty of 400 units.
- 2. This notice must be displayed in a prominent place at or near each workplace at which work affected by the notice is being performed and, must not be tampered with or removed before the notice has ceased to have effect.
- 3. If the notice is not issued by being given to the operator's representative at the facility, the responsible person (unless the responsible person is the titleholder) must cause a copy of the notice to be given to the operator's representative.
- 4. If the responsible person is the operator or an employer (other than the operator) of members of the workforce, the operator's representative at the facility must give a copy of the notice to each health and safety representative for a designated work group having group members performing work that is affected by the notice.
- 5. If the responsible person is the titleholder, as soon as practicable after issuing the notice, the NOPSEMA inspector will take reasonable steps to give a copy of the notice to the operator and, if the NOPSEMA inspector is at the facility when the notice issued, the operator's representative at the facility.
- 6. If the responsible person is the titleholder, but the inspector is not at the facility when the notice is issued, the operator must give a copy of the notice to the operator's representative at the facility.
- 7. As soon as practicable after issuing the notice, the NOPSEMA inspector will take reasonable steps to give a copy of the notice to, where applicable:
  - (a) the employer of an employee who is a member of the workforce if the notice is issued to the employee, and in connection with work performed by the employee, and
  - (b) the owner of any workplace plant, substance or thing that the notice relates to, unless the owner is the responsible person or an employer referred to in (a), and
  - (c) if the responsible person is the owner of any workplace, plant, substance or thing because of which the contravention has occurred, or is likely to occur: the operator of the facility, and if the employer of employees who work in that workplace or who use that plant, substance or thing is a person other than the operator—that employer; and
  - (d) the titleholder, if the responsible person is the operator, and the contravention relates, or is likely to relate to, the titleholders' well related obligations.
- 8. Under item 8 of subclause 80A(1) of Schedule 3 to the Act, any of the following persons may in writing request the reviewing authority to review the NOPSEMA inspector's decision:
  - the operator of the facility
  - the titleholder, if the notice is issued to a titleholder;
  - any other person to whom the notice has been issued;
  - an employer, if affected by the decision;
  - a relevant health and safety representative;
  - a relevant workforce representative, if requested by a member of the workforce affected by the decision;
  - a person who owns any workplace plant, substance or thing to which the NOPSEMA inspector's decision relates.
- 9. An improvement notice ceases to have effect when the responsible person takes the action specified in the notice.