

## **OHS Prohibition Notice**

Offshore Petroleum and Greenhouse Gas Storage Act 2006

**Notice Number: 639** 

## **OHS PROHIBITION NOTICE**

To: Atwood Australian Waters drilling Pty Ltd.

In conducting an OHS inspection in relation to the Atwood Osprey facility, I amount, a NOPSEMA inspector appointed under section 602 of the Act, am satisfied on reasonable grounds that an activity may occur at the facility that, if it occurred, would involve an immediate threat to the health or safety of a person.

I am satisfied that it is reasonably necessary to issue a prohibition notice to the Operator of the Atwood Osprey Facility in order to remove the threat.

I THEREFORE DIRECT Atwood Australian Waters drilling Pty Ltd to ensure that the following activity or activities are not conducted:

(a) at this workplace or part of workplace:

**Atwood Osprey** 

(b) using this plant or substance:

surge tank 250psi 80BBL (11910438)

1440psi 3 phase test separator skid – PRJ008502-1/13

10K steam heat exchanger - PRJ008354-6/13

The activity that has caused or may cause the threat to health or safety is:

• Use of the surge tank, separator and steam heat exchanger for well testing.

The reasons why the activity has caused or may cause the threat to health or safety are:

The pressure vessels may fail in service resulting in a potential major accident event.

The reasons for my opinion are:

- The integrity of the separator, surge tank, steam heat exchanger (Pressure vessels) supplied by Halliburton to be used for well testing at the facility has not been adequately demonstrated;
- The annual 100% MPI/NDT of pressure vessel welds has not been conducted as required; and
- The 5 Year major maintenance documentation and certification is unavailable for the surge tank.
- \* Action that may be taken that will be adequate to remove the threat to health or safety is:
  - Ensure all pressure containing equipment for well testing is inspected, maintained and certified as described in Halliburton standard ST-GL-HAL-SWT-510 and complying with ASME B & PV Code Section VIII for pressure vessels as described in the Atwood Osprey safety case.

Signed:



NOPSEMA inspector Dated: 29 March 2017 NOTES: (Please see back of form)



## **NOTES:**

- 1. Under clause 77 of Schedule 3 to the Act, a responsible person who fails to ensure that this notice is complied with, to the extent that it relates to a matter over which the responsible person has control, may be liable to a penalty of 600 penalty units.
- 2. Under clause 77A of Schedule 3 to the Act, a notice ceases to have effect in relation to a responsible person when a NOPSEMA inspector notifies the responsible person that the inspector is satisfied that the responsible person, or another person, has taken adequate action to remove the threat to health or safety.
- 3. This notice must be displayed in a prominent place at the workplace and must not be tampered with or removed before the notice has ceased to have effect.
- 4. If this prohibition notice (or a copy of the notice) is not given to the operator's representative at the facility, the operator must give a copy of the notice to the operator's representative.
- 5. The operator's representative at the facility must give a copy of the notice to the following persons:
  - if the workplace, plant, substance or thing to which the notice relates is owned by a person other than the responsible person—that owner; and/or
  - if there is a health and safety representative for a designated work group that includes a group member performing work at a workplace, or involving the plant, substance or thing, to which the direction relates—that representative.
- 6. If the only responsible person for the notice is the titleholder, as soon as practicable after issuing the notice, the NOPSEMA inspector will take reasonable steps to give a copy to both the operator and the operators representative at the facility (if the inspector is at the facility when the notice is issued).
- 7. Under item 5 of clause 80A of Schedule 3 to the Act, any of the following persons may request the reviewing authority in writing to review the NOPSEMA inspector's decision:
  - the operator of the facility;
  - the titleholder, if the notice is issued to a titleholder;
  - an employer, if affected by the decision;
  - a relevant health and safety representative;
  - a relevant workforce representative, if requested by a member of the workforce affected by the decision.