
From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Tuesday, 4 October 2016 3:23 PM
To: [REDACTED]
Cc: [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: Meetings BP/NOPSEMA [Assessment 3656] [DLM=For-Official-Use-Only]

Hi – yes, I think that reflects our conversation accurately.

However, having given this more thought since our conversation, especially in context with the discussion we had with NOPSEMA on Friday, I’m wondering if we might need a ‘high level’ meeting to discuss NOPSEMA’s letter before we get into specifics.

I’m not sure if you have had a chance to catch up with [REDACTED] since our call, but as mentioned over the phone, at the meeting on Friday we discussed the fact that some of the RFI questions were more significant than others. Whilst I think we understand which are significant, I’m concerned that if we delve straight into specific items in the letter in technical meetings that we may miss some of the significant items (without realising they are significant!).

So if we could hold a high level meeting to go thru the full letter and make sure that BP understands which items are most significant, I think that would be a better first step than getting into technical aspects straight away I’m happy to discuss this with you further (my mobile is [REDACTED] if you’d prefer to call).

Regards – [REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Monday, 3 October 2016 4:04 PM
To: [REDACTED]
Cc: [REDACTED]
Subject: Meetings BP/NOPSEMA [Assessment 3656] [DLM=For-Official-Use-Only]

For Official Use Only

Hi [REDACTED],

Good to catch up this afternoon. So to confirm our initial discussion:

Clarification meeting 1 – Environmental effects

BP would like to meet to discuss cuttings, sound and marine life and existing environment items. I have pencilled in 2pm-3:30pm on Wednesday this week (5th October 2016) for this meeting. In order for the team to be available at this time, we will need a list of questions for the items listed within the RFI letter that you would like to discuss. You indicated that you would not have a finalised list of questions until you have a technical meeting tomorrow afternoon. I certainly would like to encourage receipt of the clarification questions by early Wednesday so we do not need to reschedule to a later time.

Clarification meeting 2 – Oil spills

Separate to this, BP would like to meet to discuss oil spill arrangements likely next week. Time and agenda to be confirmed by BP – agenda and meeting booking to follow. As above, we would need a list of questions for the items within the RFI letter that you would like to discuss. There is also time on Thursday afternoon for [REDACTED] and myself to meet with you prior to next week.

Does this reflect our conversation?

Thanks [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]
National Offshore Petroleum Safety and Environmental Management Authority

[REDACTED] | W: nopsema.gov.au



From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Monday, 3 October 2016 1:41 PM
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: GAB drilling EP RFI

Hi [REDACTED] – I'd like to set up some technical clarification meetings later this week to discuss NOPSEMA's RFI.

I will give you a call later, as it's probably easier to set up meetings over the phone. However, so that I can start looking at availability of BP personnel, I was wondering if you have any thoughts about how to 'break up' the meetings.

The key 'themes' to me can probably be grouped as:

- Oil spill
- Cuttings
- Sound and marine life
- Existing environment

I think oil spill probably sits quite separately to the others in terms of who is involved in the meeting etc. Not sure whether you want to combine the other themes into one longer meeting or there are different NOPSEMA personnel involved in each of these themes.

Thoughts?

Happy to discuss over the phone if easier.

Cheers – [REDACTED]

Important: This message and any attachments is intended for the use of the addressee only and may contain confidential, sensitive personal or legally privileged information. If you are not the intended recipient you must not read, disseminate or retain the message or any part of it, and inform the sender immediately. NOPSEMA does not guarantee that this message is secure, error-free or free of viruses or other undesirable inclusions.

Please consider the environment before printing this email